## APPENDIX TO

## Language attrition: A matter of brain plasticity?

Some preliminary thoughts

Barbara Köpke Octogone-Lordat, University of Toulouse 2

## Appendix

**Table 1.** Summary of a selection of studies about structural changes in foreign language learning and bilingualism

Authors	Participants	Languages	Time spans	Features investigated	Task	Results
	•	0 0	-	-		
Mårtensson	14 military	L <sub>1</sub>	3 months	Cortical	Language	Cortical
et al.	interpreters	Swedish	course	thickness	classes	thickness
(2012)	17 controls	FL Arabic,		Hippocampal		increase in left
		Dari or		volume		dorsal MFG,
		Russian				left IFG and left
						STG. Increase
						in volume of
						right
						hippocampus.
						Language
						learning
						correlated to
						changes in right
						hippocampus
						and left STG.
Schlegel,	11 college	L1 English	9 months	WM tracts	Language	Systematic,
Rudelson &	students, 16	FL	course (7.5		classes	learning-
Tse (2012)	control	Chinese	hours/			dependent
	subjets Age		week)			changes in
	20.05 years		(monthly			white matter
	(SD = 1.89)		scanning)			tracts between
						language
						processing
						regions and a
						bilateral frontal

Table 1. (continued)

			Time	Features		
Authors	Participants	Languages	spans	investigated	Task	Results
						network. Correlations with language learning success.
Hosada et al. (2013)	24 students or graduates, mean age = 20.1 20 age- matched controls	L1 Japanese L2 English (basic)	16 weeks vocabulary training	GM volume Connectivity	Vocabulary training	Individuals with more extensive L2 vocabulary had larger GM volume in IFGop, caudate nuclei, STG/SMG, ACC (all bilaterally). Correlations with vocabulary were stronger in RH, for both GM volume and WM tracts
Xiang et al. (2015)	37 students (age 18–20)	L1 German FL Dutch	6- week course (20 hours/ week)	Diffusion tensor imaging	Language	Laterality shift in structural connectivity between fronta and temporal lobe from LH to RH, and the back again after the end of the training.  Stronger RH laterality in less proficient learners.
Hofstetter et al. (2017)	Students	L1 L2	1h	Diffusion tensor imaging	Novel word learning (flower names)	Changes in diffusivity in IFG, MTG and inferior parieta lobule, correlated to

Table 1. (continued)

Authors	Participants	Languages	Time spans	Features investigated	Task	Results
						lexical learning rate.
DeLuca, Rothman & Pliatsikas (2019)	9 bilinguals (8 females, age = 35.33 years, SD 8.12 LOR = 134 months, SD 111.7 AoA L2 = 10.5 years, SD 4.6	L1 various L2 English	3.22 years; SD = 0.12	GM volume WM volume Resting state connectivity	Continuous residence in the UK and practice of L2	No changes for WM and functional connectivity. Increase of GM volume in lower left cerebellum and reshaping of bilateral hippocampus, left amygdala and left caudate.

*Note*: GM=grey matter, WM=white matter, RH=right hemisphere, LH=left hemisphere, MFG=middle frontal gyrus, IFG=inferior frontal gyrus, STG: superior temporal gyrus, op=opercularis, SMG=supramarginal gyrus, ACC=anterior cingulate cortex, MTG=middle temporal gyrus.

Table 2. Summary of studies on re-exposure following L1 attrition in adult bilinguals

			Time	Features		
Authors	Participants	Languages	spans	investigated	Task	Results
Sancier &	1 bilingual	L1	Several	Perception	Bidirectional	Gestural
Fowler	participant	Brazilian	changes	test and	translation task	drift for
(1997)		L2 English	after	acoustic and	followed by	VOT was
			several	electro-	perception test	observed
			months in	glottographic	and acoustic	both in the
			each	analysis of	analysis	perception
			country	VOT		test and in
			age 23-27			the acoustic
						analysis
						following
						each stay
						both in the
						direction of
						L1 after
						stays in
						Brazil and
						L2 after time
						spent in the
						US.
Stolberg &	1 bilingual	L <sub>1</sub>	LoR=47	Deviations at	Natural	High
Münch	participant	German	years	the lexico-	conversation	variability in
(2010)		L2 English	4 years of	semantic,	with the	deviation
			interviews	syntactic and	researchers	suggesting
			every 2-3	morphological		emerging
			months	level		optionality.
						Number of
						deviations
						decreases
						over the
						recordings.
Chamorro,	(1) 24 re-	L <sub>1</sub>	1 + 2:	Overt/null	Offline	No
Sorace &	exposed	Spanish	mean	subject	judgment task	differences
Sturt	bilinguals	L2 English	LoR=7 ys	pronouns	Online eye	in offline
(2016)	(2) 24 control		1: Re-	1	tracking	task.
(/	immigrants		exposure			Non-
	(3) 24 recent		of at least			exposed
	arrivals		1 week			attriters
			3: mean			(group 2)
			LoR 8			differ from
			weeks			groups 1
						and 3 in the
						sensibility to
						z znore nity to

Table 2. (continued)

			Time	Features		
Authors	Participants	Languages	spans	investigated	Task	Results
						pronoun mismatch in online task.
Köpke &	1 bilingual	L <sub>1</sub>	Point 1	Overt/null	Natural	Point 1: In
Genevska-	participant	Bulgarian	(LoR=12	subject	conversation	Germany,
Hanke	10	L <sub>2</sub>	ys)	pronouns	with the	the bilingual
(2018)	predominantly	German	(a) in		researcher	produced
	monolingual		Germany			significantly
	Bulgarian		(b) after			more overt
	native		2.5 weeks			pronouns in
	speakers		in			L1 than the
			Bulgaria			controls.
			Point 2			After 2.5
			(LoR=17			weeks in
			ys)			Bulgaria,
			(a) in			her
			Germany			performance
			(b) after 3			was again in
			weeks in			the range of
			Bulgaria			the controls.
						Point 2: The
						bilingual
						was again in
						the range of the controls,
						both in
						Germany
						and in
						Bulgaria.
Gargiulo	20 bilinguals	L1 Italian	Mean	Overt/null	Selection of	Response
& van de	21	L1 Runun	LoR=11.8	subject	pronoun	selection:
Weijer	monolingual	Swedish	ys ys	pronouns	antecedent in	attrition
(2020)	controls	owedish	Summer	pronouns	self-paced	effect on
()			vacation		comprehension	null
					task	pronouns,
						but no
						difference
						between
						sessions.
						RT: both
						groups
						improve

Table 2. (continued)

Authors	Participants	Languages	Time spans	Features investigated	Task	Results
						over the sessions.  Task effect rather than re-exposure effect.

## Author's address

Barbara Köpke Octogone-Lordat University of Toulouse (UT2) 5, allées Antonio Machado 31058 Toulouse Cedex France bkopke@univ-tlse2.fr